

**STUDY AND EXAMINATION RULES FOR STUDY
IN DOCTORAL STUDY PROGRAMMES
OF JAN EVANGELISTA PURKYNĚ UNIVERSITY IN ÚSTÍ NAD LABEM
FROM THE DATE**

**Article 1
Introductory provisions**

1. University Jana Evangelisty Purkyně in Ústí nad Labem (hereinafter „UJEP“) and its faculties realize, pursuant to § 2 and 23 Act No. 111/1998 Coll., on Higher Education Institutions and on Amendments and Supplements to some other Acts (the Higher Education Act), as amended, (hereinafter „Act“) bachelor, master and doctoral study programs following the accreditation of the study program or following the authorization to realize a study program based on the institutional accreditation.
2. These study and examination rules relate to the study in doctoral study programs realized by the UJEP faculties, unless the faculties do not follow the study and examination rules for study in the doctoral study programs of the faculty, which is its internal regulation (§ 33 section 2 letter f) of the Act).
3. These Study and Examination Rules apply to students and employees, as well as to other persons whose provisions in these Study and Examination Rules are dealt with.
4. The Rector may delegate his power to decide on matters under this Study and Examination Rules in writing to the relevant Vice-Rector and Dean to his Deputy. An exception to this provision is the decision on the admission, interruption and termination of studies, for which the law provides a reservation for the Rector or Dean.
5. If students, as well as other persons within the meaning of paragraph 3, apply for a decision on the matter under the Dean's Study and Examination Rules, they are obliged to do so in writing through the study department of the relevant faculty.
6. If students, as well as other persons within the meaning of paragraph 3, apply for a decision on the matter under the Rector's Study and Examination Rules, they are obliged to do so in writing through the Dean in accordance with the procedure set out in paragraph 5.
7. Collaboration of other universities and other legal entities based in the Czech Republic engaged in educational and creative activities (hereinafter „institutions“) during doctoral study program is defined in accordance with accreditation or authorization to carry out a doctoral study program by mutual agreements.
8. UJEP publishes a list of doctoral programs being realized in the public section of its website, including the form of study, standard periods of study, information on their availability for people with disabilities, and the name of the faculty that holds the doctoral degree program.

**Article 2
Doctoral study program**

1. The components of the doctoral study program are those required by § 44 section 2 of the Act.
2. The form of study expresses whether it is a study:
 - a) Full-time studies, in which the presence of a student in the UJEP premises or of collaborating institutions is foreseen (allowing for regular attendance at lectures, seminars and other educational activities), distance learning, in which predominantly independent student preparation (without regular participation in educational activities), part time studies, in which predominantly independent preparation of the student is foreseen (without regular participation in educational activities),
 - b) Combined, where the principles of full-time and part time studies are used.

3. For each doctoral study program, a standard length of study is set (§ 44 section 2 of the Act) and maximum length of study. The standard length of study in a doctoral study program is at least three and not more than four years. The maximum period of study to meet all the requirements of the study program including the state doctoral examination and the public defence of the dissertation is seven years.
4. The basic unit of the doctoral study program are study subjects (hereinafter „subject “), which can be merged into modules. The doctoral study program distinguishes subjects according to their status to the following subjects:
 - a) compulsory, whose completion is the condition of graduation in the relevant doctoral study program,
 - b) obligatory optional, which are part of the module from which the required minimum number of subjects is required to complete the study in the respective doctoral study program, or to obtain the specified minimum number of credits,
 - c) optional, which are the other subjects of the relevant doctoral study program.Similarly, the doctoral study program distinguishes statutes of modules.
5. The time and content sequence of subjects and their modules is provided to each student through his/her individual study plan.
6. In accordance with accreditation or authorization to undertake a doctoral study program, the fulfilment of an individual study plan may be determined by a credit system based on the principles of the European Credit Transfer System (ECTS). In this case, the recommended annual study plan is rated by 60 credits and the number of credits allocated to each subject reflects the average level of study workload required for successful completion in accordance with ECTS.

Article 3 **Doctoral Board**

1. For each realized doctoral degree program, a doctoral board is established to monitor and evaluate the study in this program.
2. The chairperson of the doctoral board is the guarantor of the doctoral study program. He/she is appointed by the dean, his/her duties, operation and responsibility are defined by article 18 UJEP Statutes and within its limits also by this study and examination rules.
3. Members of the doctoral board are appointed, under the proposal of the doctoral study program guarantor and after the pronouncement of the scientific or art council for the period of five years, by the dean.
4. The appointment of the doctoral board member becomes extinct:
 - a) the time he / she has been appointed to elapsed,
 - b) by the date of delivery of the written resignation of the member to the dean,
 - c) by the date on which he was revoked by the dean following a prior statement of the doctoral degree program supervisor and of the faculty scientific or artistic council, in the case of a doctoral study program conducted in cooperation with another institution in accordance with the concluded agreement,
 - d) by the date on which the accreditation of the doctoral study program ceased or the entitlement to undertake the doctoral study program expired.
5. Doctoral board particularly:
 - a) prepares admissions for study procedure,
 - b) proposes the composition of the admission committee to the dean,
 - c) proposes the supervisor to the dean,
 - d) defines the requirements on the individual study plans, dissertation work, state doctoral exams and public defence of dissertations,
 - e) following the supervisor's he/she approves the individual study plan of students and its changes,
 - f) following the supervisor's he/she approves the theme of the dissertation work,
 - g) in cooperation with the supervisor he/she defines the framework for the content of the student's doctoral exam,
 - h) proposes to the dean the constitution of the commission for the state doctoral exams and board of examiners for the public defence of the dissertation work (hereinafter „board for the defence “).

- i) proposes to the dean the opponents for the student's dissertation work,
 - j) discusses the yearly assessment of the student's studies submitted by the supervisor,
 - k) proposes to the dean students for acknowledgement of extra scholarship,
 - l) in the case of non-fulfilment of an individual study plan, for which there are no serious reasons, proposes to the Dean to discontinue the student's graduation pursuant to § 56 section 1 letter b) of the Act.
6. Doctoral board in its activities is guided by a valid accreditation or authorization to undertake a doctoral study program, the study and examination rules and further on:
- a) in the case of activity within section 5 letter c) the Dean's Directive governing the requirements for conducting dissertations,
 - b) in the case of activity within section 5 letter k) Scholarship Rules of UJEP, or of the faculty, if it is its internal regulation (§ 33 section 2 letter f) of the Act).
7. Doctoral board, for each firstly proposed supervisor, evaluated the documentation and his/her creative and educational activities.

Article 4 **Acceptance for study**

1. Entrance proceedings for study in a doctoral study programme are governed by section 50 of the Act.
2. The basic conditions for admission to study in a doctoral study program are defined by § 48 and 49 of the Act and within their framework also by article 20 to 22 UJEP Statute.
3. The doctoral board approves the proposal for further conditions for admission to study in the doctoral study program, especially the form and the frame content of the entrance examination, the criteria for its evaluation, any requirements for the applicant's medical fitness and the highest possible number of accepted candidates, before submitting the proposal to the faculty's academic senate.
4. Generally, an expert discussion is included in the drafting of the content of the entrance examination, which based on the applicant's own creative work (especially diploma theses, publications) shall allow to judge his / her prerequisites for independent creative activity in the field and his / her ability to communicate in at least one world language.
5. Entrance exams are held before the admission committee. If the conditions for admission are met by a greater number of candidates, the ranking of the best shall be decisive.

Article 5 **Enrolment for studies**

1. By communicating the decision on admission to study, the candidate has the right to enrol.
2. Enrolment for studies takes place within the terms set by the faculty schedule.
3. The applicant is required to appear in person on the set date. Before this deadline and in exceptional cases no later than 5 days after this deadline, the applicant may apologize in writing; if the excuse is found to be justified, the candidates shall be appointed a substitute term for the entry. Reasonability of the excuse is decided by the dean.
4. If the applicant fails to apply in writing within the given deadline, or if he/she does not appear on the appointed substitute date, his / her right to enrol and study expires. This provision shall not apply if the applicant has not been present for an extraordinary period for particularly serious reasons.
5. On the day of enrolment, the candidate becomes a student.

Article 6 **Supervisor**

1. The supervisor is appointed by the dean following the proposal of the doctoral board.
2. Following the proposal of the supervisor, based on the annual evaluation of the student by the doctoral board or due to the claim of the student the doctoral board can propose the change of the supervisor to the dean.
3. The supervisor namely:
 - a) prepares the draft of the student's individual study plan,
 - b) proposes consultants and the topic of dissertation,

- c) monitors the course of the student's studies and provides consultations,
- d) assesses annually the fulfilment of the student's individual study plan and presents his/her final annual evaluation for discussion in the doctoral board,
- e) cooperates with the doctoral board to define the requirements for the state doctoral examination of the student.

Article 7

Individual study plan of a student

1. The course of study is governed by the student's individual study plan proposed by the supervisor and approved by the doctoral board following agreement with the student. The plan is binding for all parties involved.
2. An individual study plan must be prepared at the beginning of the study period to enable the student to study within the standard study time. Depending on the progress of the student's studies, it may be changed in the course of the annual study evaluations. Changes in the study plan must be discussed and approved similarly to the initial study plan.
3. The individual study plan determines for the student namely:
 - a) the content focus of his / her independent educational and creative activity (regarding the subject specialization and the topic of the doctoral thesis),
 - b) the subjects and modules they are required to complete,
 - c) the timing of the study determined in accordance with paragraph 2 and in the case of the application of the credit system, also in accordance with Article 2 section 6.
4. The subjects specified in the individual study plan according to paragraph 3 letter b) are namely:
 - a) courses with lectures (lectures, seminars or guided self-study with consultations),
 - b) subjects related to the creative activity of the student, especially publication of creative results, study trips and internships at other workplaces, participation in conferences, seminars, summer schools etc.,
 - c) in the case of full-time studies, subjects related to the pedagogical activities of the student.
5. The maximum extent of free pedagogical activity of the student according to the paragraph 4 letter c) amounts 4 hours per week during up to four semesters of his/her studies.
6. The student who studies in a doctoral study program in a foreign language according to § 58 section 4 of the Act, has the right, that the schooling, the evaluation of the fulfilment of the study of subjects, the evaluation of the fulfilment of the individual study plan, the state doctoral exam, the public defence of the dissertation, as well as the negotiations on the matters of study administration are held in the language in which the doctoral study program is carried out.

Article 8

Evaluation of studies of subjects

1. The form of checking the study of the subjects specified in an individual study plan is a credit or examination.
2. In the case of checking the study of subjects through the credit, it applies:
 - a) the student has the right in the academic year to take credit only from the subjects approved for this year in his / her individual study plan,
 - b) if the doctoral board decides, the credit can be held before the commission,
 - c) within the terms that are listed for the credit, the student is entitled to one reparative term in one academic year, and during the entire course of study, two reparative terms in total,
 - d) credit scores are evaluated: passed - failed,
 - e) if the student cannot come to the credit session for serious reasons, he/she is obliged to excuse with the teacher or the chairman of the commission within 5 days of the term of the credit. If the student does not make an appearance without any apology or if his apology is found groundless, he / she is classified with the result failed. The reason for the excuse is decided by the consultant or the chairman of the commission,
 - f) the method of recording the awarded credits is determined by the dean.
3. In the case of checking the study of subjects through the exam, it is valid:

- a) the student has the right, within the academic year, to take examinations only from the subjects approved for this year in his / her individual study plan,
 - b) if the board decides on the matter, the examination may be held before the commission,
 - c) each exam is public for members of the UJEP Academic Community; for capacities, public participation can be reasonably reduced,
 - d) exam dates are usually listed in the exam period but may be listed in other periods of the academic year; if this period is a holiday, the conduct of the examination is conditional upon the consent of the student,
 - e) within the deadlines that are listed for the exam, the student has the right to apply one reparatory term in one academic year and within the course of all studies two reparatory terms for his/her exams in total; the extraordinary reparatory term is not allowed,
 - f) exams are scored: passed - failed,
 - g) if the student cannot come to the exam for serious reasons, he/she is obliged to excuse to the consultant or the chairman of the commission within 5 days of the term of the exam. If the student does not make an appearance without any apology or if his apology is found groundless, he / she is classified with the result failed. The reason for the excuse is decided by the consultant or the chairman of the commission,
 - h) the method of recording the exams is determined by the dean.
4. In the doctoral study program in which the credit system is applied, the student receives the credits assigned to these subjects for the completion of the subjects specified in the individual study plan.
 5. If the student significantly violates ordinary proceedings of his/her credit or exam, he/she is not classified for the credit and failed for the exam. Flagrant violation of the rules while taking the credit session or exam can be considered breach of discipline in the sense of § 64 of the Act.

Article 9

Evaluation of the fulfilment of the individual studying plan

1. As a rule, once a year, the student reports on his / her study, the results of solving the creative tasks and the preparation of the dissertation.
2. Every year, the student shall prepare a written report on the results of his / her activities, which is one of the documents for the annual evaluation of the student by the supervisor.
3. The supervisor's procedure during the student's annual assessment is governed by Article 6 section 3 letter d).

Article 10

State doctoral exam

1. During the state doctoral exam (hereinafter "SDE") the student shall demonstrate the mastery of theories and the acquisition of the required knowledge and knowledge from the fields of study, including methodological bases of scientific work and creative procedures. Its content is based mainly on the topic of dissertation work and individual study plan of the student.
2. The frame content of SDE is determined by the doctoral board in cooperation with the supervisor. The SDE precedes the public defense of the dissertation.
3. Students can apply for a SDE after completing all compulsory subjects and modules set out in their individual studying plan.
4. SDE is held before the board of examiners. The board is uniform or appointed „ad hoc". The Chairman and the members of the examination board are appointed by the dean on the proposal from the doctoral board, pursuant to § 53 section 2 and 3 of the Act. The Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports (hereinafter referred to as "MEYS") may appoint other members of the examination board of relevant experts.
5. The student's supervisor is also a member of the board of examiners.
6. The examination board is a minimum of five members, i.e. it is made up of a chairman and at least four members. The presence of at least three-fifths of the members of the board, including the chairman, is required to hold the SDE.
7. The hearing of the examination board is governed by the chairman. At a private session, the examination board evaluates the course of the SDE and decides by voting on its classification.

8. SDE is classified passed – failed. To achieve classification, majority of the votes of all members of the examining board is required. If the student during SDE is classified by the grade failed, the statement of the reasons is stated in the protocol together with the opinion, which is opened to the student.
9. If the student does not appear at the SDE without excuse, or his/her excuse is not accepted, he/she is evaluated as if he failed the exam. The apology is submitted within a week after the relevant DSE term to the dean who decides finally about the acceptance of the apology.
10. The method of recording the results of the SDE is determined by the dean.
11. The student may hold his/her SDE at a maximum of two times; the extraordinary reparative term for SDE is not allowed. If the student does not pass his/her SDE even in his/her reparatory term, he or she is in the process of the study discontinuation pursuant to § 56 section 1 letter b) of the Act. The procedure for deciding on this matter is governed by § 68 of the Act.
12. For the course of SDE article 9 section 5 is of validity likewise.

Article 11 Dissertation

1. Study in a doctoral study programme is completed with the writing of a dissertation and its public defence, the performance of which is conditional on the preceding passing of the SDE. The dissertation must offer an original solution to the given problem. The results of the work or at least a part of it must have professional recognized output (for example an article in a professional periodical, specialist book, chapter in a specialist book etc.). Dissertation can be written in English.
2. The student submits his doctoral dissertation to the study department of the faculty together with the application for public defense and theses.
3. The dissertation thesis contains in brief the basic ideas, methods, results and conclusions of the dissertation. The theses can be written in English. All members of the board for defence and the opponents receive the propositions.
4. The submission method, scope, form and particulars of the dissertation and its propositions are designated by a directive of the dean.
5. The dissertation is given for evaluation to two opponents (of these, at least one is not from UJEP) who are proposed to the dean by the doctoral board.
6. The opponents are significant experts in the relevant scientific field. The supervisor of a candidate cannot be appointed as an opponent, nor can persons who have contributed to the writing of the dissertation or part thereof as co-authors. Neither can a direct superior of the candidate be appointed as an opponent. Neither can all the opponents be employees or employers of the same legal person. If an opponent does not write an assessment within two months at the latest from the date of appointment, the dean can appoint another opponent. Opponent assessments must be sent to all the defence commission members and the student at least 15 days before the public defence is held.
7. The doctoral board proposes the commission for the defence, which must have at least five members. The supervisor is not its member but has an advisory voice. At least two members are from outside UJEP. The dean appoints the chair of the commission for defence and its members. The presence of at least two thirds of the commission and of the chair for the public defence is necessary to be held.
8. In the public part of the defence the candidate familiarises those present with the basic propositions of his/her dissertation and the results attained, he listens to the evaluations of the opponents (with which he has been familiarised beforehand) and of the supervisor and then reacts to them. Anyone present may participate in the discussion of the dissertation.
9. After the end of the defence and the public has been sent out, there is a secret vote of the commission for the defence. The resultant evaluation is "successfully defended" or "did not successfully defended". The majority of the commission members present must vote for a successful defence of the dissertation.
10. The chairman of the commission for the defence announces the result of the vote at the following public meeting. A record is made out concerning the result of the deliberations of the commission for defence and the vote, and this is signed by the chairman and the present members of the commission for defence.
11. After the successful defence of the dissertation the student is awarded the academic title/degree “doctor” (abbreviated to “Ph.D.” cited after his/her name).

12. In the case of an unsuccessful defence of the dissertation, the student may repeat it after the reworking of the dissertation. It is only possible to repeat the defence once, at the earliest half a year later and at the latest within one year from the date of its unsuccessful performance. No extra ordinary term for public defense is allowed. Should the student not successfully defend the dissertation even repeatedly, his/her study is terminated pursuant to § 56 section 1 letter b) of the Act. The procedure when deciding in this matter is governed by section 68 of the Act.
13. If it is found out that the dissertation violates the basic principles of ethics of independent work (namely intentional unauthorized use of a work of another person breaking legal regulations protecting intellectual property pursuant to § 47c section 2 of the Act, elaboration by another person), the student shall be a subject to disciplinary proceedings. The defense of such work is not allowed.

Article 12

Publicization of dissertations

1. In compliance with special legal regulations a dissertation submitted for defence is made accessible for public inspection at least five working days before the performance of the defence, and this shall be at the UJEP unit where the defence of the dissertation is to take place. In the case of works of art, these shall be made accessible to the public in the place of their exhibition or other presentation. In the case of works of art, these shall be made accessible to the public in the place of their exhibition or of other presentation.
2. Dissertations where the defence has been conducted, including evaluations of opponents and records about the course and results of the defence, are published free of charge in the database of UJEP dissertations in compliance with special legal regulations¹⁾. The dissertation already published in a different way is not published.
3. By submitting a dissertation, the author agrees with the publication of his/her work according to the law, regardless of the results of the defence; the conditions for prorogation of the publication is governed by § 47b section 4 of the Act.
4. The rector's directive defines the details of making accessible and publication of dissertations, including the opinions of the opponents and records related to the course and result of the defence including the details related to the administration of their database.

Article 13

Discontinuity of studies

1. The discontinuity of students' studies is decided to follow the student's application pursuant to articles 2 and 3 by the dean.
2. Application for discontinuity of studies is submitted by the student in writing, always with the reason and time of the study interrupted. The decision related to this application is valid:
 - a) If the student asks for the discontinuation of studies due to pregnancy, childbirth or parenthood for a period of time, which would be otherwise taken due to his or her maternity or parental leave, or for the reason of accepting foster care of the child for the period accordant with maternity or parental leave, the dean is to accept it (§ 54 section 2 of the Act). Student's studies are discontinued for whole semesters, if need be, by the time remaining till the end of the semester, when the application was submitted. The period of discontinuation in accordance with the previous sentence is not included into the total time discontinuity of studies. Studies could be therefore discontinued repeatedly.
 - b) In other cases, the dean can discontinue the studies, following the student's application and recommended by his/her supervisor maximum two times, for whole semesters, in some case extended by the time remaining to the end of semester, when the application was submitted. Total time of discontinuation of studies related to the first sentence must not exceed three years.
3. If the reasons for the discontinuation of studies cease to exist, the dean following a written application of the person, whose studies were discontinued, revokes the discontinuation even before expiration of the discontinuation period determined.
4. Studies cannot be interrupted retroactively. The day of the discontinuation of studies or the studies in parallel study programs, the day of discontinuation of studies of the latest mentioned, the person is not an UJEP student any more. The period of discontinuation is neither figured in the standard nor maximum duration of studies.
5. By the time the study was interrupted, the person is entitled to be repeatedly enrolled for studies. The term of re-enrollment for studies is determined by the dean's decision on the discontinuation of studies (§ 68 section 1 letter

- c) of the Act). The day, when the person, who discontinued his/her studies, is re-enrolled, he/she becomes an UJEP student.
6. For re-enrollment, the provisions § 5 section 3 and 4 apply accordingly. With the person whose right to re-enroll for studies has expired, the proceedings for termination of studies are commenced pursuant to § 56 section 1 letter b) of the Act and article 15 section 3 letter a). The decision in this matter is governed § 68 of the Act.
 7. UJEP and the faculties do not guarantee that there shall be no change or cancellation of the study program. In the case of termination of the accreditation for the study program or in the case of cessation of the authorization, UJEP is obliged to provide such a student with the opportunity to continue with his/her studies in the same or similar UJEP study program, at its faculties or at another university.

Article 14

Special provisions on the course of studies

If the student applies in writing to the dean to extend his/her deadlines for the fulfillment of study duties in the context of child care by the time, which would otherwise be taken by his/her maternity leave, on the condition that he/she would not interrupt the studies at that time, the dean shall allow for the application (§ 54a of the Act). The time that would otherwise be taken by the maternity leave under the first sentence, does not figure in the deadline decisive for the evaluation of the study process pursuant to article 15 section 3 letter c) and in the fulfillment of study schedule defined pursuant to article 7 section 3 letter c).

Article 15

Graduation from studies

1. The studies are fully finalized pursuant to § 55 section 1 of the Act by graduating within the appropriate studying program. The day of graduation in the doctoral degree program is the day when the public defense of the doctoral thesis was completed at the end of the study.
2. Studies are also terminated:
 - a) Leaving studies (§ 56 section 1 letter a) of the Act),
 - b) if the student fails to meet the requirements of the study program according to these Study and Examination Rules (§ 56 section 1 letter b) of the Act),
 - c) in other ways pursuant to § 56 section 1 letter c) up to h) of the Act.
3. Failure to meet the requirements of a study program under this Study and Examination Rules is understood:
 - a) failure to re - enroll in the course of a given term pursuant to article 13 section 6 in the case, when the person, who interrupted his/her studies, within five days since this term does not excuse in writing or the excuse is not accepted, or in the alternative date pursuant to article 13 section 6 in case, that the alternative term was provided,
 - b) failure to complete an individual study plan, due to which the doctoral board pursuant to article 3 section 5 letter l) proposes the dean to start the proceedings for the termination of the student's studies,
 - c) exceeding the maximum period of study pursuant to article 2 section 3,
 - d) failing to meet the requirements of the subject study supervision even in the third term of its course pursuant to article 8 section 2 letter c) or article 8 section 3 letter e),
 - e) failure to meet the requirements of the state doctoral examination or the reparative term of the examination pursuant to article 10 section 11,
 - f) failure to meet the requirements of the public defense of the dissertation, even in the second term of its holding pursuant to article 11 section 12.
4. In the case of assessing the deadlines in accordance with paragraph 3 letter c) the total study time compared with maximum study time pursuant to article 2 section 3 does not include the time of entering the studies and the date of commencement of the first period of student's studies, on the condition that the enrollment for the study preceded that date.
5. The student who intends to leave the studies shall notify this fact in writing to the dean via the study department of the relevant faculty. He can do so any time during his studies.
6. The day of termination the studies pursuant to section 2 letter a) is the delivery date of the student's written statement of terminating the studies.

7. The day of termination the studies pursuant to section 2 letter b) is the day, when the appropriate decision has the force of res judicata. The decision that has been notified and against which no appeal can be taken has the force of res judicata. The decision has the force of res judicata the day after, when the period for appeal expires ineffectually, or the day after, when the student in writing has waived his right to appeal or the day he was notified of the Rector's decision.
8. The day of termination of studies pursuant to paragraph 2 letter c) is the day defined in § 56 section 2 of the Act.

Article 16
Delivery of documents,
Proceedings of appeal

1. Delivering documents to students and applicants is subject to article 24 of the UJEP Statutes.
2. The student can appeal against the dean's decision concerning § 68 section 1 of the Act. The proceeding related to the appeal, when the Act does not include any special provisions, is governed by Act No. 500/2004 Coll., administrative procedure as amended.

Article 17
Acceptance of applicants pursuant to § 49 section 3 of the Act

1. About acceptance of applicants pursuant to § 49 section 3 of the Act is decided by the dean.
2. The applicant, who passed a part of his/her studies at another university in the Czech Republic or abroad, in the same or similar study program can be accepted for the study program realized by the faculty. Within the faculty, the student may be allowed to change the form of study in the same study program.
3. Recognition of the results of the studies that the student completed before his/her admission to study pursuant to article 1, is decided by the dean, following the recommendation of the doctoral board.
4. Admission to study according to paragraph 1 is realized usually to the date of opening the appropriate semester.

Article 18
Temporary provisions

For the time being which is under article II section 4 of the Act No. 137/2016 Coll., and when the division of the study fields is hold, the provision of these study and examination rules on study programs is applicable.

Article 19
Final provisions

1. Cancellation of the Study and Examination Rules for studies in doctoral study programs of UJEP registered at the Ministry of Education and Youth on 1st February 2013 registration number MSMT – 4230/2013-30.
2. These study and examination rules were approved pursuant to § 9 section 1 letter b) part 3 of the Act by the Academic Senate of UJEP of 28th June 2017.
3. These study and examination rules come into force pursuant to § 36 section 4 of the Act by the date of its registration at the Ministry of Education and Youth.
4. These study and examination rules become operative 1st September 2017.

doc. RNDr. Martin Balej, Ph.D., in own hand
Rector